UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Jarod Jackson	Case No. 1:12 CR 101
	Defendant	
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reflefendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
(1)		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in	volves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed wor local offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendar	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another it has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	e Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	t has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years	or more is prescribed in:
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
J (1)	Alternativ There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	e Findings (B)
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2)	_	the Reasons for Detention
evidence	find that the testimony and information submitted at the ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing to this district. He has a minimal employment history.
failures has a hi	to appear, with some indication that there may be as i	nerous arrests and two felony convictions. He has 9 documented many as 20. He has spent time in jail for probation violation. He blice about his identity. The defendant's history reflects a lack of essed in the past by conditions of release.
	Part III – Direction	s Regarding Detention
correction appeal.	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportu	ney General or a designated representative for confinement in a cons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending nity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Judge's Signature: _/s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: May 29, 2012